

2010 Forsyth County North Carolina

*With additional comments from the Forsyth County Department of Public Health



Introduction

Where we live matters to our health. The health of a community depends on many different factors, including quality of health care, individual behavior, education and jobs, and the environment. We can improve a community's health through programs and policies. For example, people who live in communities with ample park and recreation space are more likely to exercise, which reduces heart disease risk. People who live in communities with smoke-free laws are less likely to smoke or to be exposed to second-hand smoke, which reduces lung cancer risk.

The problem is that there are big differences in health across communities, with some places being much healthier than others. And up to now, it has been hard to get a standard way to measure how healthy a county is and see where they can improve.

The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute are pleased to present the 2010 *County Health Rankings*, a collection of 50 reports that reflect the overall health of counties in every state across the country. For the first time, counties can get a snapshot of how healthy their residents are by comparing their overall health and the factors that influence their health, with other counties in their state. This will allow them to see county-to-county where they are doing well and where they need to improve. Everyone has a stake in community health. We all need to work together to find solutions. The *County Health Rankings* serve as both a call to action and a needed tool in this effort.

All of the *County Health Rankings* are based upon this model of population health improvement:



In this model, health outcomes are measures that describe the current health status of a county. These health outcomes are influenced by a set of health factors. These health factors and their outcomes may also be affected by community-based programs and policies designed to alter their distribution in the community. Counties can improve health outcomes by addressing all health factors with effective, evidence-based programs and policies.



Institute of Medicine, 2002

To compile the *Rankings*, we built on our prior work in Wisconsin, worked closely with staff from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Dartmouth College, and obtained input from a team of expert advisors. Together we selected a number of population health measures based on scientific relevance, importance, and availability of data at the county level. For a more detailed explanation of the choice of measures, see <u>www.countyhealthrankings.org.</u>

The Rankings

This report ranks North Carolina counties according to their summary measures of **health outcomes** and **health factors**, as well as the components used to create each summary measure. The figure below depicts the structure of the *Rankings* model. Counties receive a rank for each population health component; those having high ranks (e.g., 1 or 2) are estimated to be the "healthiest."

Our summary **health outcomes** rankings are based on an equal weighting of mortality and morbidity measures. The summary health **factors** rankings are based on weighted scores of four types of factors: behavioral, clinical, social and economic, and environmental. The weights for the factors (shown in parentheses in the figure) are based upon a review of the literature and expert input, but represent just one way of combining these factors.



County Health Rankings model ©2010 UWPHI

The maps on this page display North Carolina's counties divided into groups by health rank. The lighter colors indicate better performance in the respective summary rankings. The green map shows the distribution of summary health outcomes. The blue displays the distribution of the summary rank for health factors.

Maps help locate the healthiest and least healthy counties in the state. The health factors map appears

similar to the health outcomes map, showing how health factors and health outcomes are closely related.

Forsyth County (abbreviated as FO on the state maps below), **ranked among the 25 best counties in North Carolina** with regard to health outcomes and health factors.

HEALTH OUTCOMES



HEALTH FACTORS



Associations between Rankings

Below are two scatter-plots of the 100 North Carolina counties examining the relationship between health outcome ranks and social & economic factors (SEF) ranks and health outcome ranks and Clinical care ranks. Each county is represented by a two letter code. There was a strong correlation between the outcomes and SEF ranks (R-squared value=0.727). As the value of the SEF rank increased (meaning as the rank became worse), the value of the outcome rank increased also (meaning that the outcome rank became worse). There was no correlation between the outcomes and clinical care ranks (R-squared value=0.059); which indicates that the presence of quality care services in the community does not necessarily result in better health for the whole community. However, the strongest predictors of better or poorer health status are better or poorer socioeconomic conditions respectively. Socioeconomic factors included in this study were education, unemployment rate, children in poverty, income inequality, inadequate social support etc.



NC County Health Outcomes vs Social & Economic Factors Rankings

NC County Health Outcomes Rankings vs Clinical Care Rankings



Snapshot 2010: Forsyth County Health Rankings

Counties receive two summary ranks: Health Outcomes and Health Factors. Each of these ranks represents a weighted summary of a number of measures.

Health outcomes represent how healthy a county is. The summary health outcomes ranking is based on measures of mortality and morbidity. The mortality rank, representing length of life, is based on a measure of premature death: the years of potential life lost prior to age 75. The morbidity rank is based on measures that represent health-related quality of life and birth outcomes. We combine four morbidity measures: self-reported fair or poor health, poor physical health days, poor mental health days, and the percent of births with low birthweight.

Health factors are what influences the health of the county. The summary health factors ranking is based on four factors: health behaviors, clinical care, social and economic, and physical environment factors. In turn,

each of these factors is based on several measures. Health behaviors include measures of smoking, diet and exercise, alcohol use, and risky sex behavior. Clinical care includes measures of access to care and quality of care. Social and economic factors include measures of education, employment, income, family and social support, and community safety. The physical environment includes measures of environmental quality and the built environment.

Below is a summary of findings comparing Forsyth County and the state of North Carolina to calculated target value for each factor. Nine (9) measures were better than state; but only four measures met the target value (poor or fair health; poor physical health days; MV death rates; primary care provider rate). Four (4) measures were worse than state (Chlamydia; Air pollution particulate days & ozone days; access to healthy foods)

For more details on these measures, please visit <u>http://www.countyhealthrankings.org</u>.

	Forsyth County	Error Margin	Target Value*	NC Value	FC Rank (of100)
Health Outcomes					20
Mortality					22
Premature Deaths (Age-adjusted years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 pop.) Morbidity	7,852	7,510-8,193	≤ 7420	8,174	26
Poor or Fair Health (Age-adjusted percentage of adults reporting poor or fair health)	13%	12-15%	≤ 15%	19%	
Poor Physical Health Days (Age-adjusted average number of physically unhealthy days reported in last 30 days)	3.1	2.8-3.5	≤ 3.1	3.6	
Poor Mental Health Days (Age-adjusted average number of mentally unhealthy days reported in last 30 days)	2.9	2.5-3.3	≤ 2.7	3.2	
Low Birthweight (Percentage of live births with of infants weighing < 2500g)	10.00%	9.7-10.3%	≤ 7.7%	9.00%	
Health Factors					20
Health Behaviors					18
Adult Smoking (Percentage of adults that report smoking at least 100 cigarettes and that they currently smoke)	22%	20-24%	≤ 20%	23%	
Adult Obesity Percentage of adults that report a BMI > or = 30)	25%	22-28%	≤ 24%	29%	
Binge Drinking (Percentage of adults that report binge drinking in last 30 days)	11%	9-13%	≤ 5%	11%	
Motor Vehicle Death Crash Rate (Motor vehicle crash deaths per 100,000 pop.) Chlamydia Rate	13	12.0-15.0	≤ 15	20	
(Chlamydia rate per 100,000 pop.)	660		≤ 89	346	
Teen Birth Rate (Teen birthrate per 1,000 pop. among females ages 15-19)	51	50-53	≤ 39	51	

Clinical Care					7
Uninsured Adults					
(%of pop. under age 65 without health insurance)	17%	15-19%	≤ 14%	17%	
Primary Care Provider Rate	10.1		. 454		
(Primary care provider rate per 100,000 pop.)	194		≥ 154	115	
Preventable Hospital Stays					
(Hospitalization rate for ambulatory- care sensitive	60	67 72		72	
Diabetic Screening	69	07-72	≥ 3 5	/3	
(% of diabetic Medicare enrollees that receive HbA1c					
screenings)	84%	83-86%	≥ 88%	84%	
Hospice Use					
last 6 months of life)	33%	30-37%	≥ 37%	28%	
Social & Economic Factors					30
High School Graduation					
(% of 9th grade cohort that graduates in 4 years)	76%		≥ 82%	74%	
College Degrees					
(% of pop. age 25+ with 4 year college degree or higher)	30%	29-32%	≥ 31%	25%	
Unemployment					
(% of pop. age 16+ unemployed but seeking work)	6%	6-6%	≤ 5%	6%	
Children in Poverty					
(%of children under age 18 in poverty)	22%	19-24%	≤ 15%	20%	
Income Inequality					
(Gini coefficient of income inequality based on household income where $0 - n_0$ income inequality and $100 - 100 - 100$					
complete income inequality)	47		≤ 40	46	
Inadequate Social Support					
(% of adults without social/emotional support)	19%	16-21%	≤ 16%	20%	
Single-Parent Households					
(% of all households that are single-parent households)	11%	10-12%	≤ 6%	10%	
Homicide Rate					
(Age-adjusted deaths due to homicide per 100,000 pop.)	7	6.0-9.0	≤ 4	7	
Physical Environment					97
Air Pollution - Particulate Matter Days					
(Annual number of unhealthy air guality days due to fine					
particulate matter)	5		= 0	1	
Air Pollution - Ozone Days (Annual number of					
unhealthy air quality days due to ozone)	10		= 0	4	
Access to Healthy Foods					
(% of Zip codes with access to healthy food outlets such					
as grocery stores, produce stands, and farmers markets)	40%		≥ 69%	45%	
Liquor Store Density					
(Number of liquor stores per 100,000 pop.)	0.5		≤ 0.2	0.6	

Note: * 90th percentile, i.e., only 10% are better; Blank values reflect unreliable or missing data

Darker shade indicates worse than state values

Lighter shade indicates better than state values.

Forsyth County Rankings among Top Five (5) & Ten (10) NC Counties

NC County Rankings: Health Outcomes						
Top 5 Largest Counties		Top 10 Largest Counties				
Wake	1 st	Wake	1 st			
		Union	3 rd			
Mecklenburg	5 th	Mecklenburg	5 th			
		New Hanover	6 th			
Guilford	10 th	Guilford	10 th			
		Durham	11 th			
Durham	11 th	Forsyth	20 th			
		Buncombe	25 th			
Forsyth	20 th	Cumberland	54 th			
		Gaston	70 th			

NC County Rankings: Health Behaviors						
Top 5 Largest Counties		Top 10 Largest Counties				
Mecklenburg	4 th	Mecklenburg	4 th			
Ŭ		Wake	5 th			
Wake	5 th	Buncombe	6 th			
		New Hanover	7 th			
Forsyth	18 th	Union	14 th			
		Forsyth	18 th			
Durham	19 th	Durham	19 th			
		Guilford	20 th			
Guildford	20 th	Gaston	58 th			
		Cumberland	78 th			

NC County Rankings: Social & Economic Factors						
Top 5 Largest Counties		Top 10 Largest Counties				
Wake	2 nd	Wake	2 nd			
		Union	5 th			
Mecklenburg	21 st	Buncombe	12 th			
9		New Hanover	17 th			
Durham	24 th	Mecklenburg	21 st			
		Durham	24 th			
Guilford	27 th	Guilford	27 th			
		Forsyth	30 th			
Forsyth	30 th	Cumberland	54 th			
		Gaston	56 th			

Note: Counties without Military bases: Durham, Forsyth, Guilford, Mecklenburg & Wake

NC County Rankings: Health Factors						
Top 5 Largest Counties		Top 10 Largest Counties				
Wake	2^{nd}	Wake	2 nd			
		Buncombe	3 rd			
Durham	8^{th}	New Hanover	7 th			
		Durham	8 th			
Mecklenburg	14^{th}	Union	10 th			
0		Mecklenburg	14 th			
Guilford	17^{th}	Guilford	17 th			
		Forsyth	20 th			
Forsyth	20 th	Gaston	49 th			
		Cumberland	54 th			

NC County Rankings: Clinical Care						
Top 5 Largest Counties		Top 10 Largest Counties				
Durham	2 nd	Durham	2 nd			
		Buncombe	3 rd			
Forsyth	7 th	New Hanover	5 th			
-		Forsyth	7 th			
Guilford	12 th	Guilford	12 th			
		Wake	13 th			
Wake	13 th	Mecklenburg	15 th			
		Cumberland	19 th			
Mecklenburg	15 th	Gaston	36 th			
5		Union	45 th			

NC County Rankings: Physical Environment						
Top 5 Largest Counties		Top 10 Largest Counties				
Durham	66 th	Buncombe	13 th			
		Gaston	47 th			
Guilford	78 th	Durham	66 th			
		Cumberland	67 th			
Forsyth	97 th	New Hanover	68 th			
		Union	76 th			
Wake	98 th	Guilford	78 ^h			
		Forsyth	97 th			
Mecklenburg	100^{th}	Wake	98 th			
		Mecklenburg	100^{th}			

Summary Health Outcomes & Factors Rankings: North Carolina

The following pages show the health outcomes and health factor ranks for all 100 counties in North Carolina and show each county's rank for individual measures. Forsyth County is shown in **red**.

For explanation of these ranks and how they were calculated, please visit the County Health Rankings website at <u>http://www.countyhealthrankings.org</u>.

Rank	Health Outcomes	Rank	Health Factors	Rank	Health Outcomes	Rank	Health Factors
1	Wake	1	Orange	51	McDowell	51	Currituck
2	Orange	2	Wake	52	Avery	52	McDowell
3	Union	3	Buncombe	53	Stokes	53	Stokes
4	Chatham	4	Polk	54	Cumberland	54	Cumberland
5	Mecklenburg	5	Henderson	55	Caswell	55	Cherokee
6	New Hanover	6	Transylvania	56	Haywood	56	Burke
7	Dare	7	New Hanover	57	Hoke	57	Wayne
8	Watauga	8	Durham	58	Caldwell	58	Davidson
9	Cabarrus	9	Moore	59	Montgomery	59	Lee
10	Guilford	10	Union	60	Alexander	60	Surry
11	Durham	11	Chatham	61	Nash	61	Nash
12	Jackson	12	Catawba	62	Rowan	62	Wilkes
13	Randolph	13	Yancey	63	Wayne	63	Harnett
14	Madison	14	Mecklenburg	64	Wilkes	64	Rowan
15	Onslow	15	Macon	65	Washington	65	Cleveland
16	Yancey	16	Watauga	66	Gates	66	Pasquotank
17	Transylvania	17	Guilford	67	Sampson	67	Beaufort
18	Catawba	18	Madison	68	Stanly	68	Jones
19	Clay	19	Haywood	69	Greene	69	Chowan
20	Forsyth	20	Forsyth	70	Gaston	70	Granville
21	Iredell	21	Clay	71	Rockingham	71	Caswell
22	Lincoln	22	Cabarrus	72	Burke	72	Perquimans
23	Polk	23	Davie	73	Duplin	73	Greene
24	Craven	24	Carteret	74	Alleghany	74	Person
25	Buncombe	25	Dare	75	Perquimans	75	Hoke
26	Alamance	26	Craven	76	Graham	76	Wilson
27	Carteret	27	Mitchell	77	Tyrrell	77	Bladen
28	Pasquotank	28	Alamance	78	Swain	78	Hertford
29	Ashe	29	Iredell	79	Cleveland	79	Montgomery
30	Camden	30	Yadkin	80	Beaufort	80	Washington
31	Moore	31	Jackson	81	Wilson	81	Hyde
32	Johnston	32	Brunswick	82	Jones	82	Sampson
33	Henderson	33	Lincoln	83	Cherokee	83	Duplin
34	Hyde	34	Alexander	84	Mitchell	84	Lenoir
35	Harnett	35	Pamlico	85	Rutherford	85	Rockingham
36	Franklin	36	Stanly	86	Scotland	86	Graham
37	Davie	37	Ashe	87	Anson	87	Martin
38	Pender	38	Camden	88	Lenoir	88	Tyrrell
39	Pamlico	39	Pitt	89	Northampton	89	Bertie
40	Currituck	40	Pender	90	Richmond	90	Richmond
41	Davidson	41	Randolph	91	Vance	91	Swain
42	Yadkin	42	Rutherford	92	Warren	92	Anson
43	Brunswick	43	Onslow	93	Hertford	93	Halifax
44	Macon	44	Franklin	94	Edgecombe	94	Northampton
45	Pitt	45	Caldwell	95	Martin	95	Scotland
46	Lee	46	Avery	96	Halifax	96	Vance
47	Person	47	Gates	97	Bladen	97	Columbus
48	Surry	48	Alleghany	98	Robeson	98	vvarren
49	Granville	49	Gaston	99	Bertie	99	Edgecombe
50	Chowan	50	Johnston	100	Columbus	100	Robeson

Health Outcomes Rankings: North Carolina

Rank	Mortality	Morbidity	Rank	Mortality	Morbidity	Rank	Mortality	Morbidity
1	Wake	Wake	35	Franklin	Granville	69	Macon	Stanly
2	Orange	Chatham	36	Davidson	Swain	70	Perquimans	Wilson
3	Union	Polk	37	Craven	Yadkin	71	Rockingham	Rutherford
4	New Hanover	Orange	38	Caswell	Hyde	72	Duplin	Wilkes
5	Mecklenburg	Union	39	Carteret	Ashe	73	Mitchell	Beaufort
6	Watauga	Jackson	40	Surry	Franklin	74	Gaston	Caswell
7	Madison	Dare	41	Stokes	Wayne	75	Cleveland	Graham
8	Durham	Clay	42	Rowan	Alamanc	76	Greene	Burke
9	Chatham	Mecklenburg	43	Harnett	Madison	77	Wayne	Anson
10	Camden	Moore	44	Pitt	Montgomery	78	Graham	Perquimans
11	Guilford	Craven	45	Gates	Pender	79	Nash	McDowell
12	Cabarrus	Cabarrus	46	Yadkin	Sampson	80	Sampson	Gates
13	Dare	Transylvania	47	Chowan	Pasquotank	81	Beaufort	Martin
14	Onslow	Guilford	48	Polk	Pitt	82	Lenoir	Rowan
15	Randolph	New Hanover	49	Alleghany	Hoke	83	Wilson	Scotland
16	Davie	Lincoln	50	Moore	Davidson	84	Warren	Cleveland
17	Pasquotank	Macon	51	Tyrrell	Avery	85	Northampton	Alleghany
18	Johnston	Carteret	52	Cumberland	Johnston	86	Cherokee	Edgecombe
19	Alam ance	Yancey	53	Wilkes	Cumberland	87	Richmond	Robeson
20	Catawba	Randolph	54	Brunswick	Greene	88	Rutherford	Vance
21	Yancey	Onslow	55	Avery	Haywood	89	Scotland	Mitchell
22	Forsyth	Pamlico	56	Pamlico	Chowan	90	Hertford	Jones
23	Currituck	Catawba	57	Caldwell	Alexander	91	Swain	Tyrrell
24	Iredell	Iredell	58	Haywood	Gaston	92	Anson	Richmond
25	Buncombe	Durham	59	Granville	Surry	93	Vance	Northampton
26	Ashe	Forsyth	60	Alexander	Caldwell	94	Edgecombe	Hertford
27	Jackson	Harnett	61	Person	Camden	95	Halifax	Bladen
28	Transylvania	Watauga	62	Stanly	Cherokee	96	Bladen	Columbus
29	McDowell	Lee	63	Burke	Washington	97	Bertie	Halifax
30	Lincoln	Buncombe	64	Lee	Davie	98	Columbus	Lenoir
31	Hyde	Person	65	Washington	Currituck	99	Robeson	Bertie
32	Henderson	Henderson	66	Jones	Rockingham	100	Martin	Warren
33	Pender	Nash	67	Hoke	Duplin			
34	Clay	Brunswick	68	Montgomery	Stokes			

Pank	Health Behaviore	Clinical Care	Social & Economic	Physical Environment
Rank				Environment
2	Vanage	Durbom	Urange	Hoke
2	Polk	Buncombo	Currituck	Madicon
3	Fuik	Transylvania	Transylvania	Clay
4 E	Weke	New Honeyer		Ciay
5	Runsombo		Watawaa	Unsiow
7	New Henever	Fill	Comdon	
0	New Hanover	Cotowho	Cantoen	Tyrreii Dolla
0	Menuelson	Calawba	Chainain	POIK
9	Moore	Rutherford	Polk	Asne
10	Clay	Henderson	Dare	Drupowiek
10	Avery	Haywood	Henderson	Brunswick
12	Macon	Guilford	Buncombe	Nioore
13	Watauga	Wake	Davie	Buncombe
14	Union Optowik z	Macon	Carteret	Swain
15	Catawba	Mecklenburg	Cabarrus	Nash
16		Moore	Haywood	Mitchell
17	Transylvania	Madison	New Hanover	Richmond
18	Forsyth	Cleveland	Madison	Jones
19	Durham	Cumberland	Jackson	Robeson
20	Guilford	Mitchell	Iredell	Rutherford
21	Mitchell	Chowan	Mecklenburg	Franklin
22	Cabarrus	Yancey	Yadkın	Montgomery
23	Gates	Bladen	Johnston	Chowan
24	Alleghany	Alamance	Durham	Dare
25	Ashe	Burke	Moore	Scotland
26	Lincoln	Nash	Onslow	Pasquotank
27	Alexander	Scotland	Guilford	Cleveland
28	Greene	Vance	Pamlico	Duplin
29	Cherokee	Cabarrus	Stokes	Bladen
30	Brunswick	Caldwell	Forsyth	Henderson
31	Rutherford	Pamlico	Craven	Cherokee
32	Stanly	Polk	Catawba	Orange
33	Carteret	Hertford	Macon	Randolph
34	Alamance	Craven	Pender	Davie
35	Haywood	Edgecombe	Lincoln	Jackson
36	Iredell	Gaston	Clay	Alexander
37	Davie	Wayne	Alam ance	Surry
38	Graham	McDowell	Franklin	Vance
39	Jackson	Stanly	Stanly	Perquimans
40	Pitt	Randolph	Avery	Graham
41	Washington	Pasquotank	Brunswick	Alam ance
42	Wilkes	Rowan	Alexander	Harnett
43	Pender	Wilson	Granville	Wayne
44	Craven	Lee	Rowan	Yancey
46	Madison	Bertie	Gates	Washington
47	Randolph	Halifax	Randolph	Gaston
48	Dare	Chatham	Harnett	Rockingham
49	Surry	Beaufort	McDowell	Chatham
50	Yadkin	Caswell	Wayne	Carteret

Health Factors Rankings: North Carolina

Rank	Health Behaviors	Clinical Care	Social & Economic Eactors	Physical
51	Cleveland	Clay	Vancov	Macon
52	Cievelanu	Brupswick	Davidson	Catawba
53		Lincoln	Hoke	Warren
54	McDowell	Alexander	Cumberland	Stokes
55	Davidson	Davia	Porcon	Hortford
56	lones	Person	Gaston	Pamlico
57	Tyrroll	Columbus	Caldwoll	Panlico
59	Gaston	Surry	Alloghany	lohoston
50	Harnott	Jradall	Mitchall	Jonnision
59	Wilson	Charakaa	Burko	Anoon
61	Duplin	Haka	Booufort	Anson
62	Eranklin	Cartorot	Coswoll	Ditt
62	Chowan	Davidson	Wilkog	Fill
64	Bladen	Bishmond	Derguimene	Bartio
65	Diduen	Lonoir	Perquimans	Edgeoombo
60	Pamilco	Lerioir	Pill	Edgecombe
00	Bulke	Robeson	Lee	Dumam
69	Johnston	Granville	Surry	New Henever
60	Nasn	Anson	Pasquotank	New Hanover
69 70	Hyde	vashington	Rockingham	Bulke
70	Perquimans	Franklin	Duplin	VVIISON
71	Rowan	Hyde	Спегокее	Allegnany
72	Montgomery	Northampton	Sampson	Haywood
73	Stokes	Asne	Nasn	Beautort
74	Hertford	Granam	Greene	WIIKES
75	Hallfax	Jones	Jones	Hyde
76	Lenoir	Wilkes	Rutherford	Union
70	wayne	Unslow	Montgomery	Davidson
78	Cumberland	Montgomery	Martin	Guilford
79	Martin	Pender	Swain	Transylvania
80	Onslow	Stokes	Hyde	McDowell
81	Richmond	Warren	Lenoir	Camden
82	Beaufort	Alleghany	Hertford	Caswell
83	Pasquotank	Rockingham	Wilson	Northampton
84	Anson	Perquimans	Chowan	Iredell
85	Caswell	Watauga	Cleveland	Greene
86	Sampson	Jackson	Bladen	Avery
87	Person	Dare	Northampton	Stanly
88	Currituck	Tyrrell	Washington	Gates
89	Bertie	Yadkin	Bertie	Currituck
90	Granville	Gales	Alison	Watauga
91	Columbus	Sampson	Tyrrell	Person
92	Rockingham	Martin	Richmond	Lincoln
93	Warren	Swain	Warren	Martin
94	Scotland	Greene	Columbus	Columbus
95	Northampton	Harnett	Graham	Cabarrus
96	Vance	Johnston	Vance	Granville
97	Swain	Currituck	Scotland	Forsyth
98	Hoke	Camden	Halifax	Wake
99	Robeson	Avery	Edgecombe	Rowan
100	Edgecombe	Duplin	Robeson	Mecklenburg

Health Factors Rankings: North Carolina

	Measure	Data Source	Years of Data
HEALTH OUTCOMES			
Mortality	Premature death	National Center for Health Statistics	2004-2006
Morbidity	Poor or fair health	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	2002-2008
	Poor physical health days	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	2002-2008
	Poor mental health days	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	2002-2008
	Low birthweight	National Center for Health Statistics	2000-2006
HEALTH FACTORS			
HEALTH BEHAVIORS			
Tobacco	Adult smoking	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	2002-2008
Diet and Exercise	Adult obesity	National Center for Chronic Disease	2006-2008
Alcohol Use	Binge drinking	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	2002-2008
	Motor vehicle crash death rate	National Center for Health Statistics	2000-2006
High Risk Sexual	Chlamydia rate	National Center for Health Statistics	2006
Behavior	Teen hirth rate	National Center for Health Statistics	2000-2006
CLINICAL CARE			2000 2000
Access to Care	Uninsured adults	Small Area Health Insurance Estimates, U.S. Census	2005
	Primary care provider rate	Health Resources & Services Administration	2006
Quality of Care	Preventable hospital stays	Medicare/Dartmouth Institute	2005-2006
	Diabetic screening	Medicare/Dartmouth Institute	2003-2006
	Hospice use	Medicare/Dartmouth Institute	2001-2005
SOCIOECONOMIC FACTO	RS		
Education	High school graduation	National Center for Education Statistics ¹	2005-2006
	College degrees	U.S. Census/American Community Survey	2000/2005-2007
Employment	Unemployment	Bureau of Labor Statistics	2008
Income	Children in poverty	Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, U.S. Census	2007
	Income inequality	U.S. Census/American Community Survey ²	2000/2005-2007
Family and Social	Inadequate social support	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	2005-2008
	Single-parent households	U.S. Census/American Community Survey	2000/2005-2007
Community Safety	Violent crime ³	Uniform Crime Reporting, Federal Bureau of Investigation	2005-2007
PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT			
Air Quality⁴	Air pollution-particulate matter days	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency / Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	2005
	Air pollution-ozone days	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency /	2005
Built Environment	Access to healthy foods	Census Zin Code Business Patterns	2006
	Liquor store density	Census County Business Patterns	2006

2010 County Health Rankings: Measures, Data Sources, and Years of Data

4 Not available for AK and HI.

¹ State data sources for KY, NH, NC, PA, SC, and UT (2007-2008).

² Income inequality estimates for 2000 were calculated by Mark L. Burkey, North Carolina Agricultural & Technical State University, <u>www.ncat.edu/~burkeym/Gini.htm.</u> 3 Homicide rate (2000-2006) from National Center for Health Statistics for AK, AZ, AR, CO, CT, GA, ID, IN, IA, KS, KY, LA, MN, MS, MT, NE, NH, NM, NC, ND, OH, SD, UT, and WV. State data source for IL.

¹³ www.countyhealthrankings.org/north-carolina

Acknowledgements

Report Editors

University of Wisconsin-Madison School of Medicine and Public Health Population Health Institute Bridget Booske, PhD, MHSA Jessica Athens, MS Patrick Remington, MD, MPH

Conceptual Development David

Kindig, MD, PhD Paul Peppard, PhD Patrick Remington, MD, MPH

Technical Advisors

Amy Bernstein, ScD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Michele Bohm, MPH, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Vickie Boothe, MPH, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Ethan Burke, MD, MPH, Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice

Research Assistance Clare

O'Connor Karen Odegaard Hyojun Park Matthew Rodock

Production and Editing Chuck

Alexander Alex Field Joan Fischer Irene Golembiewski Jennifer Robinson

Design

Forum One, Alexandria, VA Media Solutions, UW School of Medicine and Public Health

Metrics Advisory Group

Yukiko Asada, PhD, Associate Professor, Community Health and Epidemiology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Tom Eckstein, MBA, Principal, Arundel Street Consulting Inc, St. Paul, MN Elliott Fisher, MD, MPH, Director, Center for Population Health, Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice, and Professor of Medicine and Community and Family Medicine, Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, NH. Howard Frumkin, MD, MPH, Dr. PH, Director of the National Center for Environmental Health, ATSDR, CDC, Atlanta, GA Thomas Kottke, MD, MSPH, Medical Director for Evidence-Based Health, HealthPartners, Minneapolis, MN Ali Mokdad, PhD, Professor of Global Health, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. Roy Gibson Parrish, MD, Consultant in Population Health Information Systems, Peacham, VT Robert M. (Bobby) Pestronk, MPH, Executive Director, National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), Washington DC. Tom Ricketts, PhD, Professor of Health Policy and Administration, University of North Carolina Steven Teutsch, MD, MPH, Chief Science Officer, Los Angeles County Public Health, Los Angeles, CA. Julie Willems Van Dijk, PhD, RN, former Marathon County, WI Health Officer

Suggested citation: University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute. County Health Rankings 2010.



University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute 610 Walnut St, #524, Madison, WI 53726 (608) 265-6370 / <u>info@countyhealthrankings.org</u>